

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/531,038	KENNEDY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANDREW WENDELL	2618	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ANDREW WENDELL (3) _____

(2) Mark Cormo (4) _____

Date of interview: 20 March 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 12, 13, 23, 26, 30, 34, 35, 36, and 37.

Identification of prior art discussed: Stein et al. (US 2003/0008663).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the claims and examiner indicated claims 12-13, 30, 34-35, and 37 are allowed based off applicant's remarks filed on 1/8/2009. Applicants elected to cancel independent claims 1, 23, 26, and 36 and claims dependent on those claims in order to get this application to allowance faster.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Andrew Wendell/
Examiner, Art Unit 2618